



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/596,586 | 06/16/2006 | Peter Larsson | P18804-US1 | 8104 |
| 27045 | 7590 | 06/22/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| ERICSSON INC. 6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024 | | | GREENE, JOSEPH L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2451 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/22/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/596,586 | Applicant(s) LARSSON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner JOSEPH L. GREENE | Art Unit 2451 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 April 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 8-23 are currently pending in this application.
2. Claims 1-7 and 24 are cancelled as filed on 04/06/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. **Claims 8-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cain (Pre-Grant Publication No. US 2003/0204625 A1).**

5. With respect to claim 8, Cain disclosed method for optimizing the performance of a connection between a source node and a destination node in a multihop network (figure 1, and 0054, lines 1-3, where this shows the multi-hop network and the cluster leader node is an active node between a source and destination device), said method comprising the steps of: transmitting a beacon containing a measure of performance for the connection from at least one active node associated with the connection between the source node and the destination node (0053, lines 10-18, where the data/message

Art Unit: 2451

that node k transferred to node m that contained information about its metric is the beacon): receiving at least one of the transmitted beacons at least one neighboring node associated with the connection between the source node and the destination node (0053, lines 10-18, where the data/message that node k transferred to node m that contained information about its metric is the beacon).

Cain also disclosed calculating at said at least one neighboring node a cost function based on the measure of performance in each received beacon (0053, lines 17-18); determining at said at least one neighboring node whether the cost function for the connection between the source node and the destination node can be improved if said at least one neighboring node adapts at least one resource in the multihop network (0053, lines 17-18, where improvement is the purpose of comparing the metrics); and if yes, adapting the at least one resource to improve the cost function for the connection between the source node and the destination node; or if no, maintaining the at least one resource in the connection between the source node and the destination node (0053, lines 17-18).

6. As for claim 9, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 8, including wherein each active node performs the receiving step, the calculating step, the determining step, the adapting step and the maintaining step (0053, lines 10-18).

Art Unit: 2451

7. As for claim 10, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 9, including wherein said at least one resource includes: a route; a channel; or one or more physical layer parameters (0009, lines 7-13, where this shows the route limitation).

8. As for claim 11, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 9, including wherein said adapting step includes inserting at least one of the neighboring nodes into the connection between the source node and the destination node and removing at least one of the active nodes from the connection between the source node and the destination node (0054, lines 1-11).

9. As for claim 12, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 9, including wherein said adapting step includes removing at least one of the active nodes from the connection between the source node and the destination node (0054, lines 1-11).

10. As for claim 13, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 8, including wherein said adapting step is performed when there is a topology change within the multihop network, said topology change includes: a movement of one of the nodes; one or more quality variations in a channel between the source node and the destination node; one or more changes in traffic patterns within the multihop network; one or more changes in transmit patterns within the multihop network; or one or more

Art Unit: 2451

changes in resource allocations within the multihop network (0054, lines 1-3, where this shows the movement of the node limitation).

11. As for claim 14, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 8, including wherein said at least one neighboring node adapts the at least one resource of the multihop network in an opportunistic manner in response to an instantaneous topology change in the multihop network (0054, lines 1-11, where the node cluster *m* is the neighbor node, as listed in 0053, lines 10-18).

12. As for claim 15, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 8, including wherein each beacon includes a general broadcast part and a connection related part that contains the measure of performance which includes: an accumulated cost for the connection between the source node and the destination node; or a maximum allowed power for the transmitting active node (0053, lines 10-18, where the calculated cost is found in lines 17-18).

13. With respect to claim 16, Cain disclosed a wireless multihop network (0009, lines 1-4) that implements a reactive routing protocol to optimize the performance of a connection between a source node and a destination node (figure 1, and 0054, lines 1-3, where this shows the multi-hop network and the cluster leader node is an active node between a source and destination device), said wireless multihop network comprising: at least one active node located in the connection between the source node

Art Unit: 2451

and the destination node (0009, lines 1-7, where the cluster leader is the active node), wherein each active node transmits a beacon containing a measure of performance for the connection between the source node and the destination node; and at least one neighboring node associated with the connection between the source node and the destination node (0053, lines 10-18, where the data/message that node k transferred to node m that contained information about its metric is the beacon), wherein each neighboring node receives at least one of the transmitted beacons (0053, lines 10-18, where the data/message that node k transferred to node m that contained information about its metric is the beacon), calculates a cost function based on the measure of performance in each received beacon (0053, lines 17-18), and adapts at least one resource in the wireless multihop network if it is possible to improve the cost function for the connection between the source node and the destination node (0053, lines 17-18).

14. As for claim 17, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 16, including wherein each active node performs the receiving step, the calculating step and the adapting step (0053, lines 10-18).

15. As for claim 18, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 16, including wherein said at least one resource includes: a route; a channel; or one or more physical layer parameters (0009, lines 7-13, where this shows the route limitation).

Art Unit: 2451

16. As for claim 19, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 16, including wherein said adapting step includes inserting at least one of the neighboring nodes into the connection between the source node and the destination node and removing at least one of the active nodes from the connection between the source node and the destination node (0054, lines 1-11, where the cluster leader is a neighboring node).

17. As for claim 20, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 16, including wherein said adapting step includes removing at least one of the active nodes from the connection between the source node and the destination node (0054, lines 1-11).

18. As for claim 21, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 16, including wherein each neighboring node performs the adapting step when there is a topology change within the wireless multihop network, said topology change includes: a movement of one of the nodes; one or more quality variations in a channel between said source node and said destination node; one or more changes in traffic patterns within the wireless multihop network; one or more changes in transmit patterns within the wireless multihop network; or one or more changes in resource allocations within the multihop network (0054, lines 1-3, where this shows the movement of the node limitation).

Art Unit: 2451

19. As for claim 22, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 16, including wherein each neighboring node performs the adapting step in an opportunistic manner when there is a real-time topology change within the wireless multihop network (0054, lines 1-11).

20. As for claim 23, Cain disclosed all of the limitations described in claim 16, including wherein each beacon includes a general broadcast part and a connection related part that contains the measure of performance which includes: an accumulated cost for the connection between the source node and the destination node, or a maximum allowed power for transmitting active node (0053, lines 10-18, where the calculated cost is found in lines 17-18).

Response to Arguments

21. Applicant's arguments filed 04/06/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

22. The applicant argues on page 7 that “**Cain discloses methods for adapting an ad-hoc wireless network. Cain is concerned, in general, with the grouping of nodes (11) into clusters (12). In response to node or link failures, the method taught by Cain is used to determine a new route between source and destination nodes. Cain, however, does not disclose: 1) the transmission of a beacon containing a measure of performance for a connection from at least one active**

Art Unit: 2451

node associated with the connection between a source node and a destination node; 2) wherein the beacon is received by a neighboring node, which then calculates a cost function based on the measure of performance in each received beacon; and 3) the neighboring node adapting a resource of the multihop network if it is possible to improve the cost function for the connection between the source node and the destination node. The transmission of a beacon containing a measure of performance for a connection, rather than knowledge about the mere proximity of nodes/clusters, allows for any neighboring node to cause the adaptation of resources to optimize a connection between source and destination nodes. That functionality is not taught by Cain and, therefore, claim 8 is not anticipated thereby."

However, while Cain's system may be directed towards reconfiguring nodes, it still basis it off of a performance metric (i.e. a different metric will perform better). The claimed limitation is broad enough where any performance metric will cover it as such. If the applicant has a specific sort of performance method that is intended to be utilized, it is encouraged that the applicant express as much in the claimed limitation. Furthermore, sections 0053 and 0054 show how the system calculates the aforementioned performance metric and then adjusts accordingly. Also, section 0055, how the information can be transmitted to another node directly and then the receiving node performs it's own determinations.

Conclusion

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

(a) Kennedy et al. (Pre-Grant Publication No. US 2004/0219909 A1), a system that adjusts its network based off of predictions and status information of nodes.

24. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSEPH L. GREENE whose telephone number is (571)270-3730. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2451

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLG

/John Follansbee/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451